

Quantifying reproductive state and predator effects on copepod motility in ephemeral ecosystems

Article

Accepted Version

Cuthbert, R. N., Dalu, T., Wasserman, R. J., Dick, J. T. A., Callaghan, A., Froneman, P. W. and Weyl, O. L. F. (2019) Quantifying reproductive state and predator effects on copepod motility in ephemeral ecosystems. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 168. pp. 59-61. ISSN 0140-1963 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2019.05.010> Available at <https://centaur.reading.ac.uk/85064/>

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. See [Guidance on citing](#).

To link to this article DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2019.05.010>

Publisher: Elsevier

All outputs in CentAUR are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including copyright law. Copyright and IPR is retained by the creators or other copyright holders. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the [End User Agreement](#).

www.reading.ac.uk/centaur

CentAUR

Central Archive at the University of Reading

Reading's research outputs online

Short Communication

Quantifying reproductive state and predator effects on copepod motility in ephemeral ecosystems

Ross N. Cuthbert^{*1, 2, 3}, Tatenda Dalu^{4, 5}, Ryan J. Wasserman^{6, 5}, Jaimie T.A. Dick¹, Amanda Callaghan³ P. William Froneman⁷, Olaf L.F. Weyl²

¹Institute for Global Food Security, School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Medical Biology Centre, Belfast BT9 7BL, Northern Ireland

²DST/NRF Research Chair in Inland Fisheries and Freshwater Ecology, South African Institute for Aquatic Biodiversity (SAIAB), Grahamstown 6140, South Africa

³Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Reading, Harborne Building, Reading RG6 6AS, England

⁴Department of Ecology and Resource Management, University of Venda, Thohoyandou 0950, South Africa

⁵South African Institute for Aquatic Biodiversity (SAIAB), Grahamstown 6140, South Africa

⁶Department of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Botswana International University of Science and Technology, Palapye, Botswana

⁷Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, Grahamstown 6140, South Africa

*Corresponding author: e-mail, rcuthbert03@qub.ac.uk; orcid ID, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2770-254X>

Abstract

Ephemeral wetlands in arid environments are unique ecosystems with atypical trophic structuring, often dominated by invertebrate predation. Copepod behavioural traits and vulnerabilities to predation can vary substantially according to reproductive status. Gravid female copepods may be more vulnerable to predation due to reduced escape speeds or higher visibility for predators. Here, we quantify how reproductive status modulates horizontal motility rates of the predatory ephemeral pond specialist copepod *Lovenula raynerae*, and the responsiveness of the copepod to predator cues of the notonectid *Anisops debilis*. Males exhibited significantly higher motility rates than gravid female copepods, however chemical predator cues did not significantly influence activity rates in either sex. The lack of responsiveness to predator cues by specialist copepods in ephemeral wetlands may result from a lack of predation pressure in these systems, or due to time stress to reproduce during short hydroperiods. In turn, this could increase predation risk of copepods from externally-recruited top predators in ephemeral wetlands, and potentially contribute to the development of skewed sex ratios in favour of females.

Keywords

Lovenula raynerae; notonectid; hydroperiod; trait-mediated responses; temporary ponds; sex-skewed populations

Ephemeral wetland ecosystems remain poorly studied due to high spatial and temporal heterogeneity, and function fundamentally differently from permanent waters (see Dalu et al. 2017a). In arid environments, ephemeral aquatic ecosystems provide particularly important aquatic habitat patches for rare and endemic species (De Meester et al. 2005). Population demographics are known to vary profoundly over the hydroperiod in ephemeral wetlands (Wasserman et al. 2018; Cuthbert et al. 2019), and such variations can have marked implications for population success (Kiørboe 2006). In copepods, for example, predation pressure may not be equally shared by the sexes. Gravid female copepods may be more vulnerable to predation pressure as they are less agile and more conspicuous to predators (Maier 1995, Svensson 1997, Mahjoub et al. 2011). Behavioural examinations of copepods have, however, thus far focused on permanent aquatic ecosystems.

Ephemeral aquatic ecosystems exhibit atypical trophic structuring, where characteristically shallow food webs enable copepods to occupy high trophic levels (Dalu et al. 2017b). Predation risks are alleviated in these wetlands for some of the hydroperiod as many species are internally recruited (e.g. Wasserman et al. 2016), with higher order hexapod predation pressure (e.g. notonectids) arriving later (O'Neill and Thorp 2014, Wasserman et al. 2018). Generally, within aquatic systems, the prevalence of higher-order predatory cues profoundly affects invertebrate behaviour, for instance by reducing activity rates (Paterson et al. 2013; Alexander et al. 2013). Examinations of these trends are, however, lacking in ephemeral ecosystems where predation pressures are transient (Wasserman et al. 2018; but see Brendonck et al. 2002; De Roeck et al. 2005).

Here, we examine variabilities in motility rates according to reproductive status in the atypically large (4.0–5.0mm) and predatory ephemeral pond specialist copepod *Lovenula raynerae* Suárez-Morales, Wasserman, Dalu (Suárez-Morales et al. 2015) under the presence of different predator cue treatments. We were particularly interested in differences between

70 gravid and non-gravid copepods regarding invertebrate predation risk. Invertebrate predators,
71 such as notonectids, utilise movement (visual) and hydromechanical cues for prey detection
72 (e.g. Diéguez and Gilbert 2003). Responses of prey to predation threat may, therefore,
73 involve a decrease in activity to avoid detection (passive avoidance), or an increase of
74 activity for active avoidance. We hypothesised that males would exhibit active avoidance
75 strategies, while gravid females would employ passive avoidance strategies, given the
76 reduced mobility potential associated with the carrying of an egg-load of the latter.

77 Adult *L. raynerae* were sampled from an ephemeral wetland (33°10'04.1"S
78 27°16'10.6"E) in the Eastern Cape, South Africa using a 64µm zooplankton net of 30cm
79 diameter. Copepods were transported to a laboratory with a controlled environment (CE) at
80 Rhodes University, Grahamstown, where adult males and females (4.5–5.0mm) were housed
81 at 25±1°C in 25L tanks containing source pond water under a 14:10 light:dark photoperiod.
82 Adults of the notonectid *Anisops debilis* Gersaeker (6.92±0.04mm) were collected by towing
83 a kick net through an impounded stream (33°19'00.1"S 26°31'21.2"E) in Grahamstown and
84 maintained in the same CE room. *Culex pipiens sensu lato* were collected from container-
85 style habitats on the Rhodes University campus.

86 To derive the effects of sex and predator cues on copepod motility, we employed a
87 2×2 experimental design with respect to sex (two levels) and predator cues (two levels). Only
88 male and/or gravid female copepods were used for the study. Non-gravid females were,
89 however, not employed as their reproductive (egg-production) state was difficult to determine
90 and could have implications for behavioural performance. Adult male and gravid female *L.*
91 *raynerae* were fed on a diet of *C. pipiens* larvae for 72h prior to use. To create chemical
92 predator cues for experimental use, *A. debilis* were maintained in 2L aquaria of 16.5cm
93 diameter at a stocking density of 7.5ind. L⁻¹ and fed *ad libitum* on a standard diet of *C.*
94 *pipiens* larvae. After 48h of cue accumulation, water was extracted and strained through

200µm mesh for immediate use in the experiment. Control water was maintained in identical conditions, but in the absence of notonectid predators (i.e. 2L aquaria for 48h). Male and/or gravid female copepods were then added to jars of 5.6cm diameter, with a line marked across the middle of the bottom of the arena, containing 25mL of water of the allocated cue treatment, and allowed to settle for 20 min ($n=12$ per experimental group). After this acclimation period, the number of line crosses was visually recorded over a 5min observation period. Lighting was positioned directly above arenas at an intensity of 930 lux.

Using the R environment, the effects of ‘sex’ and ‘predator cue’ on the number of line crosses exhibited were analysed using generalised linear models (GLMs) assuming a quasi-Poisson distribution as residuals were overdispersed. Non-significant terms and interactions were removed stepwise to maximise parsimony (Crawley, 2007).

Males exhibited an average of 22.54 (\pm SE: ± 1.55) line crosses, whilst gravid females exhibited 13.04 (\pm SE: ± 2.13). Overall, male *L. raynerae* exhibited significantly greater motility than gravid females ($F_{(1,46)}=11.28$, $p=0.002$; Fig. 1). The presence of predator cues had no effect on copepod motility ($F_{(1,45)}=1.07$, $p=0.31$). There was no significant ‘sex \times predator cue’ interaction effect ($F_{(1,44)}=0.52$, $p=0.47$), indicating that the lack of predator cue effects on motility was consistent between copepod sexes.

The ephemeral pond-specialist copepod *L. raynerae* does not appear to use alternative anti-predation strategies, with respect to motility, based on reproductive state. Neither males nor females were responsive to the presence of predator cues from notonectids in terms of their horizontal motility levels. No significant increase or decrease in motility was detectable. Males were, however, more active than the gravid females irrespective of the predation cue treatment. A number of invertebrates have shown high responsiveness to predator cues (e.g. Paterson et al. 2013; Weiss et al. 2015) and even to conspecific alarm cues associated with

predation (Wasserman et al. 2014). It is plausible that the necessity of high resource acquisition for reproduction, compounded by time pressures associated with characteristically short hydroperiods in arid environments, may negate anti-predator responses of *L. raynerae*. Alternatively, these copepods may respond to conspecific alarm cues rather than predator cues; however, this was not tested in the study. Furthermore, the effects of predator cues on vertical migration strategies in copepods, alongside other anti-predator mechanisms, requires further investigation in heterogenous aquatic environments. Nevertheless, the lack of motility response to predation, coupled with consistently higher activity levels of males, may further increase the susceptibility of male copepods to predation by invertebrates such as notonectids, potentially further accentuating the development of female-skewed ratios which have been shown to arise in wild *L. raynerae* populations in ephemeral ponds (Wasserman et al. 2018). Thus, these traits may further contribute to the development of sex-skewed demographics within ephemeral ecosystems.

Acknowledgements

This study forms part of a PhD studentship funded by the Department for the Economy, Northern Ireland. We extend gratitude to Rhodes University for the provision of laboratory facilities. We acknowledge use of infrastructure and equipment provided by the SAIAB Research Platform and the funding channelled through the NRF-SAIAB Institutional Support system. This study was partially funded by the National Research Foundation – South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology (Inland Fisheries and Freshwater Ecology, Grant No. 110507).

References

141 Alexander, M. E., Dick, J. T. A. and O'Connor, N. E. (2013) Born to kill: Predatory
142 functional responses of the littoral amphipod *Echinogammarus marinus* Leach
143 throughout its life history. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 439, 92–99.

144 Brendonck, L., Michels, E., De Meester, L. and Riddoch, B. (2002) Temporary pools are not
145 ‘enemy-free’. *Hydrobiologia* 486, 147–159.

146 Crawley, M. J. (2007) The R book. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.

147 Cuthbert, R. N., Dalu, T., Wasserman, R. J., Weyl, O. L. F., Callaghan, A., Froneman, P. W.,
148 Dick, J. T. A. (2019) Sex-skewed trophic impacts in ephemeral wetlands. *Freshw.*
149 *Biol.* 64, 359–366.

150 Dalu, T., Wasserman, R. J. and Dalu, M. T. B. (2017a) Agricultural intensification and
151 drought frequency increases may have landscape level consequences for ephemeral
152 ecosystems. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23, 983–985.

153 Dalu, T., Wasserman, R. J., Froneman, P. W. and Weyl, O. L. F. (2017b) Trophic isotopic
154 carbon variation increases with pond’s hydroperiod: Evidence from an Austral
155 ephemeral ecosystem. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 7572.

156 De Roeck, E. R. M., Artois, T. and Brendonck, L. (2005) Consumptive and non-consumptive
157 effects of turbellarian (*Mesostoma* sp.) predation on anostracans. *Hydrobiologia* 542,
158 103–111.

159 De Meester, L., Declerck, S., Stoks, R., Louette, G., Van De Meutter, F., De Bie, T., Michels,
160 E and Brendonck, L. (2005) Ponds and pools as model systems in conservation
161 biology, ecology and evolutionary biology. *Aquat. Cons. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.* 15,
162 715–725.

163 Diéguez, M. C. and Gilbert, J. J. (2003) Predation by *Buenoa macrotibialis* (Insecta,
164 Hemiptera) on zooplankton: effect of light on selection and consumption of prey. *J.*
165 *Plankt. Res.* 25, 759–769.

166 Kiørboe, T. (2006) Sex, sex-ratios, and the dynamics of pelagic copepod populations.
167 *Oecologia*, 148, 40–50.

168 Mahjoub, M. S., Souissi, S., Michalec, F. G., Schmitt, F. G. and Hwang, J. S. (2011)
169 Swimming kinematics of *Eurytemora affinis* (Copepoda, Calanoida) reproductive stages
170 and differential vulnerability to predation of larval *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Teleostei,
171 Perciformes). *J. Plankt. Res.* 33, 1095–1103.

172 Maier, G. (1995) Mating frequency and interspecific matings in some freshwater cyclopoid
173 copepods. *Oecologia* 101, 245–250.

174 O'Neill, B. J. and Thorp, J. H. (2014) Untangling food-web structure in an ephemeral
175 ecosystem. *Freshwater Biol.* 59, 1462–1473.

176 Paterson, R. A., Pritchard, D. W., Dick, J. T. A., Alexander, M. E., Hatcher, M. J. and Dunn,
177 A. M. (2013) Predator cue studies reveal strong trait-mediated effects in communities
178 despite variation in experimental designs. *Anim. Behav.*, 86, 1301–1313.

179 Suárez-Morales, E., Wasserman, R.J. and Dalu, T. (2015) A new species of *Lovenula*
180 Schmeil (Copepoda, Calanoida, Diaptomidae) from the Eastern Cape Province of
181 South Africa. *Crustaceana*, 88, 324–342.

182 Svensson, J. E. (1997) Fish predation on *Eudiaptomus gracilis* in relation to clutch size, body
183 size, and sex: A field experiment. *Hydrobiologia* 344, 155–161.

184 Wasserman, R. J., Kramer, R., Vink, T.J.F. and Froneman, P.W. (2014) Conspecific alarm
185 cue sensitivity by the estuarine calanoid copepod, *Paracartia longipatella*. *Aust. Ecol.*
186 39, 732–738.

187 Wasserman, R. J., Alexander, M. E., Barrios-O'Neill, D., Weyl, O. L. F. and Dalu, T. (2016)

188 Using functional responses to assess predator hatching phenology implications for

189 pioneering prey in arid temporary pools. *J. Plankt. Res.* 38, 154–158.

190 Wasserman, R. J., Weston, M., Weyl, O. L. F., Froneman, P. W., Welch, R. J., Vink, T. J. F.

191 and Dalu, T. (2018) Sacrificial males: the potential role of copulation and predation in

192 contributing to copepod sex-skewed ratios. *Oikos* 127, 970–980.

193 Weiss, L. C., Leimann, J. and Tollrian, R. (2015) Predator-induced defences in *Daphnia*

194 *longicephala*: location of kairomone receptors and timeline of sensitive phases to trait

195 formation. *J. Exp. Biol.* 218, 2918–2926.

207 Fig. 1. Effect of sex and notonectid cues on the motility (number of line crosses) of male and
208 gravid female *Lovenula raynerae*. Means are \pm SE ($n=12$ per experimental group).

209